The conserved RNA-binding protein Musashi1 (MSI1) has emerged as a key oncogenic factor in numerous solid tumors, including glioblastoma. However, its mechanism of action has not yet been established comprehensively. To identify its target genes comprehensively and determine the main routes by which it influences glioblastoma phenotypes, we conducted individual-nucleotide resolution cross-linking and immunoprecipitation (iCLIP) experiments. We confirmed that MSI1 has a preference for UAG sequences contained in a particular structural context, especially in 3′ untranslated regions. Although numerous binding sites were also identified in intronic sequences, our RNA transcriptome sequencing analysis does not favor the idea that MSI1 is a major regulator of splicing in glioblastoma cells. MSI1 target mRNAs encode proteins that function in multiple pathways of cell proliferation and cell adhesion. Since these associations indicate potentially new roles for MSI1, we investigated its impact on glioblastoma cell adhesion, morphology, migration, and invasion. These processes are known to underpin the spread and relapse of glioblastoma, in contrast to other tumors where metastasis is the main driver of recurrence and progression.

Glioblastoma multiforme (GBM) is the most malignant form of brain cancer and carries a poor prognosis. Patients receive a combined treatment that includes surgery, radiation, and chemotherapy, followed by concurrent chemotheraphy with the oral alkylating agent temozolomide and radiation therapy. Tumor relapse is very frequent, and patients have a median survival of 14.6 months. Many clinical trials have been carried out recently, but none have demonstrated significant improvement in overall survival according to the current standard of care (1, 2). In recent years, many studies have attempted to elucidate the genomics of glioblastoma using high-density microarrays and high-throughput sequencing. In a comprehensive genomic study of glioblastoma by The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) project, 206 glioblastoma tumors were analyzed for DNA copy number, DNA methylation, and gene expression (3). Comparative results were revealed by other similar studies into the genomics of glioblastoma using high-density miRNAs (19). We sought to identify the complete collection of MSI1 target mRNAs (13–21). Among these, MSI1 stands out due to its evolutionary conservation, connection to neural stem cells, and role in balancing self-renewal and differentiation (18, 22–26). High expression levels of MSI1 have a prognostic value in glioma (21) and in the pediatric malignant brain cancer medulloblastoma (27).

Many RNA-binding proteins have important roles in the initiation and progression of glioblastoma, including LIN28 (7), PCBP2 (8), HuR (9–11), Quaking (12), and Musashi1 (MSI1) (13–21). Among these, MSI1 stands out due to its evolutionary conservation, connection to neural stem cells, and role in balancing self-renewal and differentiation (18, 22–26). High expression levels of MSI1 have a prognostic value in glioma (21) and in the pediatric malignant brain cancer medulloblastoma (27).

Previous literature has suggested a potentially critical role for MSI1 in glioblastoma based on a small number of MSI1 target mRNAs (19). We sought to identify the complete collection of...
MSI1 target mRNAs by using individual-nucleotide resolution cross-linking and immunoprecipitation (iCLIP) methodology coupled with RNA transcriptome sequencing (RNA-Seq). Our findings uncover a role for MSI1 as a central regulator of cell adhesion pathways that contribute to GBM by influencing cell adhesion, morphology, migration, and invasion.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell culture. U251 and U343 glioblastoma cells were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA) and cultured in Dulbecco modified Eagle medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, penicillin, and streptomycin.

Cells were transiently transfected with control siRNAs or MSI1 small interfering RNA (siRNA) using Lipofectamine RNAiMAX (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) and harvested after 48 to 72 h for assays described below. siRNA was obtained from the Invitrogen Stealth RNAi collection (M111HSS106732, M111HSS106733, and M111HSS106734) and used in an equimolar pool. Invitrogen RNAi siRNA negative control, medium GC content was used as a control. Knockdown levels of MSI1 using siRNAs reproducibly exceeded 90% compared to the control siRNA transfection, as measured by quantitative reverse transcription-PCR (qRT-PCR).

Cell proliferation. Transfected cells (siRNA control or MSI1) were harvested by using trypsin and replated with 10^6 cells per well in a 96-well tissue culture-grade plate. Cells were counted with the Countess automated cell counter (Invitrogen) using trypan blue exclusion for visualiza
tion. The cells were counted every day for three consecutive days.

Cell viability. Cells were previously synchronized by serum starvation for 48 h, replated with 10^6 cells per well in a 96-well tissue culture-grade plate, and then transfected with siRNA (control or MSI1). After 72 h of transfection, cell viability was measured using CellTiter-Glo (Promega [Madison, CA]; catalog no. G7570) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Cell cycle analysis. Cells were transfected as described above. Cell cycle stages were analyzed by propidium iodide staining of DNA content. In brief, cells were harvested using trypsin and washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). PBS-resuspended cells were added dropwise to 200-

proof ethanol for fixation and incubated on ice for at least 30 min. The cells were then pelleted via centrifugation at 1,000 rpm for 5 min. The cell pellet was resuspended in a PBS solution containing 20 mg of RNase A/ml, 0.1% (vol/vol) Triton X-100, and 20 μg of propidium iodide/ml. The solution was incubated at 37°C for 15 min. The cells were then analyzed via fluorescence-activated cell sorting on the BD FACSCanto flow cytometry machine (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA). Flow cytometry data were analyzed using Modfit LT (Verity Software House, Topsham, ME) to calculate cell cycle distribution.

Annexin V staining. Transfected cells were analyzed for annexin V staining using an annexin V-phycoerythrin (PE) apoptosis detection kit I (BD Pharmingen [San Diego, CA]; catalog no. 559763). In brief, cells were treated with trypsin to prevent further apoptosis, washed with ice-cold PBS, and resuspended in 1× binding buffer. The PE-annexin V staining solution and 7-aminoactinomycin, used to detect cell viability, were added to the resuspended cell solution, followed by incubation at room temperature for 15 min. The annexin V staining was then analyzed on a BD FACSCanto flow cytometry machine (BD Biosciences).

Caspace-3/-7 activity assay. In U251 and U343 transfected cells, the activated caspase-3/-7 activity was measured with Caspase-Glo 3/7 assay (Promega; catalog no. G8091) according to the manufacturer’s instructions, and luminescence was measured with a Molecular Devices Spectra-Max M5 microplate reader.

In vitro scratch assay. Glioblastoma cells were grown in a 96-well ImageLock cell culture plate (Essen BioScience, Ann Arbor, MI) in a standard CO2 incubator. The cells were transfected at a low density with control or MSI1 siRNAs for 48 h to 72 h. The floor of the wells was left uncoated. A 96-pin WoundMaker (Essen BioScience) was used to create precise and reproducible wounds in the 96-well plate. The assay plates were then equilibrated for 15 min within the IncuCyte automated microscope system (Essen BioScience) before the first scan. The software was set to scan every 15 min for 4 days. The data were analyzed by using the relative wound density integrated metric. At the end of the experiment, the data was inspected using analysis of variance and displayed as means ± the standard deviations.

Cell migration. For single cell migration analyses, glioblastoma cells were seeded on a collagen-coated 24-well plate (3 × 10^4/500 μl). A single cell migration was monitored over 10 h by time-lapse analyses (1 frame/5 min) using a Leica TCS SP5 confocal microscope equipped with a Ludin cube incubation chamber with a ×20 objective lens. The speed of cell migration was determined as the mean displacement (in micrometers per minute of tracked cells using the “manual tracking” plugin (http://rsbweb.nih.gov/ij/plugins/track/track.html) for ImageJ. Statistical sig
nificance was determined by using a Student t-test.

Cell morphology. U343 and U251 cells were grown on glass coverslips, fixed, and processed for indirect immunostaining with the following antibodies: anti-MSI1 antibody (Merck-Millipore [Darmstadt, Germany]; catalog no. 04-1041), DAPI (4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole; Sigma [St. Louis, MO]; catalog no. D9542) and phalloidin-TRITC (Sigma; catalog no. P1951). Image acquisition was performed on a Leica TCS SP5 confocal microscope using a ×63 Plan Apo objective lens.

Cell invasion assay. Cell invasion was measured in vitro with a Boyden chamber assay using a CytoSelect 24-well cell invasion assay (Cell Biolabs, San Diego, CA). U251- and U343-transfected cells were harvested using trypsin and counted. Next, 0.5 × 10^6 cells were resuspended in serum-free medium. A total of 500 μl of medium containing 10% fetal bovine serum was added to the lower chamber, and 300 μl of resuspended cells was placed in the upper chamber. The assay mixture was incubated at 37°C and an 5% CO2 atmosphere for 24 h. The plate was removed, and the medium inside the insert was aspirated. The chamber was stained using cell stain solution and washed with deionized water. A microscopic image of the insert was taken with a Nikon Eclipse TS100 inverted microscope equipped with a DS-L2 camera control unit (Nikon Instruments, Inc., Melville, NY) at ×20 magnification. The stained cells were then extracted using 200 μl of extraction solution. Finally, 100 μl of the extracted solution was added to a 96-well microtiter plate, and the optical density at 560 nm was measured with a BioTek Synergy HT microplate reader (BioTek, Winooski, VT).

Fibronectin cell adhesion assay. Ninety-six-well plates were coated with 0, 10, and 20 μg of human fibronectin (BD Biosciences; catalog no. 354008)/ml. The wells were washed with PBS and blocked with 3% bovine serum albumin for 60 min. At 72 h after control siRNA or MSI1 siRNA transfection (as described above), 5 × 10^3 cells were added to each well. The plates were incubated for 30 min at 37°C and 5% CO2, and then washed with PBS. Paraformaldehyde solution (4%) was used to fix adherent cells for 15 min at room temperature, followed by washes with PBS. Adherent cells were detected by crystal violet staining (5 mg/ml in 2
e% ethanol; Sigma-Aldrich [St. Louis, MO]; catalog no. C3886) for 10 min. The plates were extensively washed with water and allowed to dry completely. Then, 2% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) was used to lyse the cells for 30 min, and the absorbance was read at 550 nm.

Western blotting. Cells were resuspended and sonicated in Laemmli sample buffer, separated on a 10% SDS-PAGE gel, and transferred. After transfer, the membrane was blocked in Tris-buffered saline with Tween 20 and 5% milk. The membranes were probed with a collection of different antibodies, including anti-MSI1 antibody (Abcam [Cambridge, MA]; catalog no. ab52865; dilution, 1:2,000), anti-IGFIR antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology [Santa Cruz, CA]); catalog no. sc-713; dilution, 1:500), anti-epidermal growth factor receptor (anti-EGFR) antibody (Cell Signaling Technology [Danvers, MA]; catalog no. 2646; dilution, 1:200), anti-PDGFRα antibody (Cell Signaling Technology; catalog no. 3241; dilution, 1:1,000), and anti-α-tubulin antibody (Sigma; catalog no. T5168; dilution, 1:4,000). Horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated goat anti-rabbit antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) or HRP-conjugated goat anti-
mouse antibody (Zymed Laboratories, Carlsbad, CA) was used as a secondary antibody. Electrochemiluminescence was used to detect the selected proteins using Immobilon Western chemiluminescence substrate (Millipore, Billerica, MA).

Polysomal gradient and analysis. Cells were incubated for 10 min with 0.1 mg of cycloheximide (Sigma-Aldrich)/ml and then harvested in 1 ml of polysome extraction buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.5], 100 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl2, 0.3% Igepal CA-630, and 0.1 mg of cycloheximide/ml) on ice for 10 min. Cytoplasmic lysates were fractionated by centrifugation through 10 to 50% linear sucrose gradients. The eluted fractions were prepared with a fraction collector and their quality monitored at 254 nm using a UV-6 detector (Teledyne Isco, Lincoln, NE). RNA from each of the 12 fractions was extracted using TRIZol (Invitrogen; catalog no. 15596-026) and used for qRT-PCR analysis to determine the distributions of glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH), EGFR, and insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor (IGF1R) mRNAs.

Alternative splicing analysis. Total RNA was extracted using the TRIZol reagent according to manufacturer’s instructions. Reverse transcription of messenger RNAs was performed using a high-capacity cDNA reverse transcription kit (Applied Biosystems [Warrington, WA]; catalog no. 4368814) with random priming. For mRNA analysis, quantitative PCR was performed using the primers listed below and Power SYBR green PCR master mix (Applied Biosystems; catalog no. 4309155). Real-time PCR were performed on a 7500 real-time PCR system (Applied Biosystems). The data were acquired using the SDS 2.0.1 software package (Applied Biosystems) and analyzed using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method with GAPDH as an endogenous control. The primers used were as follows: GAPDH forward (CCCCCTGGCCAAGGTCATCCA) and reverse (ACAGCCTTCTTACCA), GAPDH reverse (ACAGCCCTGGCCAAGGTCATCCA), ZAK control forward (CTACGTGTTGGAGGCTGATG), ZAK control reverse (CAGGAGGCTGCTGCTATTTT), ZAK short forward (GATCTGGAGATGCAGATAAA), ZAK short reverse (TCATCATCATGCTACCTTGT) ZAK long forward (CTCACAGACCAAGAGGACAA), and ZAK long reverse (GGAGGGAAATGTGCTATTC).

iCLIP experiments. U251 cells were plated on 100-mm dishes and cross-linked when semi-confluent in 6 ml of PBS with a Spectrolinker XL-1500 two times at 100 ml/cm². Cells were scraped, pelleted, and snap-frozen. iCLIP was performed as described previously (28) using Abcam rabbit anti-MSI1 monoclonal antibody (catalog no. ab52865). Samples were amplified, purified with Beckman Genomics Ampure XP beads (Beckman Coulter Genomics, Danvers, MA), and quantitated with a Bioanalyzer DNA 1000 chips (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA). Three biologic replicates were combined, and 50SE sequencing was performed on a Hi-Seq 2000 (Illumina, Inc., San Diego, CA).

Mapping of iCLIP sites and RNA-Seq data analysis. To map high-throughput sequence data, we constructed a version of the hg18 genome in which the regions outside the genes were masked out. The transcriptome was used as RefSeq (29), downloaded from the UCSC genome browser, which was also used for visualization (30, 31). RMAP (32) was used for read mapping. Peak identification from mapped iCLIP data was performed using Piranha (33). For binding site characterization, we used the union of all significant peaks from all replicates to enhance sensitivity. Identification of differential gene expression in RNA-Seq was performed using EdgeR (34), and differential usage of exons was calculated using the Fisher exact test. Enrichment of trinucleotides around iCLIP sites (Fig. 1B and C). Interestingly, however, UAG trinucleotides, which has been previously reported as a binding site for MSI1, was generally enriched around MSI1-iCLIP sites (Fig. 1B and C). Enrichment for the KEGG pathways was performed with DAVID (36) using a background of the expressed genes, as determined by our RNA-Seq data (defined as the genes with at least a single read in all total RNA replicates). (Protein-protein interactions were extracted from iRefIndex [37] and visualized with Circos (http://circos.ca/.) Full details of the computational methods used are provided in the supplemental material.

RESULTS

iCLIP analysis reveals novel aspects of MSI1 binding site. RNA-binding proteins affect gene expression in multiple ways and can regulate hundreds of targets in a given cell type (42). MSI1 is an important player in glioblastoma and displays high expression in all subgroups according to the TCGA data sets (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material). We used iCLIP (28) to map MSI1 binding sites in the U251 glioblastoma line. This assay uses UV light to cross-link protein-RNA interactions, followed by immunoprecipitation of MSI1-interacting ribonucleoproteins (RNPs) (see Fig. S2 in the supplemental material), digestion, and finally high-throughput sequencing of the RNAs from these RNP complexes. The resultant next-generation sequencing reads are then mapped to the transcriptome. By exploiting the tendency of reverse transcriptase to truncate at the cross-link location, iCLIP provides us with single-nucleotide identification of MSI1-RNA cross-link locations. After the appropriate normalization of sequencing results, significant MSI1 iCLIP sites (P < 0.05) (see Materials and Methods for the iCLIP analysis) were enriched in 3' UTRs and 5' UTRs, as expected for a protein primarily known for its role in translation (Fig. 1A; see Fig. S3A in the supplemental material; Table S1 in the supplemental material contains complete sets of identified sites).

The UAG trinucleotide, which has been previously reported as the core of the MSI1 binding site (43), was generally enriched around MSI1 iCLIP sites (Fig. 1B and C). Interestingly, however, UAG enrichment was not present in coding regions. As discussed further below, binding to UAGs that function as stop codons was rarely detected.

Nuclear magnetic resonance structures have suggested that the two RNA recognition motif (RRM) domains of MSI1 act independently to recognize RNA motifs (43). We investigated the tendency of UAG/GUAG motif pairs, in contrast to isolated UAG or GUAG sequences, to occur at iCLIP sites compared to flanking regions in different contexts. Interestingly, there was a marked increase in paired versus unpaired motifs around the 3'-UTR iCLIP sites (roughly 2X greater, P < 2.5 × 10^-11 [Fisher exact test]) (see Fig. S5B and C in the supplemental material) but little...
discernible trend in 5' UTRs, introns, or coding sequences (see Fig. S3D to F in the supplemental material). A comparative analysis between different contexts revealed that 3' UTR sites have a significantly greater proportion of paired motifs than sites in other transcript areas (P < 6.74 × 10^{-14}). Our data suggest the existence of different binding site characteristics based on context, but more detailed studies are required to determine whether these relate to functional differences.

MSI1 iCLIP sites showed a strong preference toward single-stranded regions (Fig. 1D) and, in particular, were contained in stem-loops (Fig. 1E). Interestingly, there was little discernible difference in structural preference between UTR, intronic, and coding sites, unlike the sequence preference (which, as mentioned above, avoids UAG and generally displays less sequence specificity in coding regions [Fig. 1C]). Representative structures are shown in Fig. 1F and in Fig. S4 in the supplemental material, where the MSI1 binding site occurs in the hairpin loop.

MSI1 iCLIP sites showed a strong preference toward single-stranded regions (Fig. 1D) and, in particular, were contained in stem-loops (Fig. 1E). Interestingly, there was little discernible difference in structural preference between UTR, intronic, and coding sites, unlike the sequence preference (which, as mentioned above, avoids UAG and generally displays less sequence specificity in coding regions [Fig. 1C]). Representative structures are shown in Fig. 1F and in Fig. S4 in the supplemental material, where the MSI1 binding site occurs in the hairpin loop.

Since UAG forms the core of the expected MSI1 binding site, we looked for evidence of MSI1 binding to UAG stop codons, speculating that such binding may interfere with translation ter-

FIG 1 Novel characteristics of the Musashi binding site revealed by iCLIP analysis. (A) Proportion of significant iCLIP sites falling within introns, coding regions, 3' UTRs, and 5' UTRs. The proportions are normalized to the total region size. (B) Observed/expected ratio for all trinucleotides around iCLIP sites stratified by region type where the site is located. (C) Z-scores for the number of occurrences of the top two trinucleotides in each region from panel B shown relative to the iCLIP cross-link site. (D) Average probability of nucleotide adopting single-stranded RNA (ssRNA) conformation for all nucleotides relative to iCLIP cross-link location, stratified by the site’s location. (E) Proportion of bases predicted to be in each of the five possible ssRNA conformations within iCLIP sites compared to flanking regions (***, the P < 0.001 odds ratio for a given conformation between flanking and iCLIP sites is >1 [Fisher exact test]). (F) Representative structure of an iCLIP target site in the gene HDAC4, showing presence of the predicted MSI1 binding site in the hairpin loop.
mination. However, we saw no preference for iCLIP sites around these locations (see Fig. S3G in the supplemental material). Computational prediction of the structure around human UAG stop codons indicated a region of double-stranded RNA preference encroaching on the UAG (see Fig. S3H in the supplemental material). Previous experimental findings have suggested strong structural signals at stop codons in yeast (44), and we speculate that the secondary structure at these sites is not conducive to MSI1 binding, explaining the lack of enrichment for iCLIP sites near stop codons, despite the apparently favorable sequence.

Musashi1 has modest impact on alternative splicing. Since we identified a substantial number of MSI1 iCLIP sites in intronic locations (see Fig. S3A in the supplemental material), we sought to determine whether MSI1 is involved in regulating alternative splicing. We identified 26 genes with significant changes in exon usage upon MSI1 knockdown (see Table S3 in the supplemental material); 7 of the 26 genes also contain MSI1 iCLIP sites in proximity to the exons with changed inclusion rates in all three replicates, a number that is more than three times greater than that expected by chance ($P < 6.9 \times 10^{-3}$ [Fisher exact test]), and this hints at a direct involvement of MSI1 in these changes. In some cases, the affected exons are located in the coding sequence, whereas some genes show changed read profiles in 3′-UTR exons (Fig. 2; also see Fig. S5A to D in the supplemental material).

Although MSI1 iCLIP sites are not enriched in coding or intronic regions, in absolute terms we did observe a large number of such sites (see Fig. S3A in the supplemental material). The presence of only a small number of genes displaying changes in exon usage and alternative polyadenylation in spite of this motivated us to examine the distribution of iCLIP sites around splice junctions and polyadenylation signal sites more closely. The majority of intronic and coding MSI1 iCLIP sites are localized more than 200 nucleotides from splice sites (see Fig. S5E in the supplemental material); we observed only a modest enrichment of iCLIP sites in coding regions near splice sites, only marginally more than that expected by chance under the assumption of a uniform random distribution. This suggests that MSI1, at least in U251 cells, plays at most a minor role in regulating alternative splicing.
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**FIG 2** Musashi1 impact on splicing. (A) UCSC genome-browser image showing read coverage for RNA-Seq and iCLIP replicates in the gene ZAK. Region $\alpha$ highlights exons with no significant change in inclusion ratio, region $\beta$ (short isoform) highlights exons with an increased inclusion ratio upon MSI1 knockdown, and region $\gamma$ (long isoform) highlights exons with a decreased inclusion ratio upon Musashi1 knockdown. MSI1 knockdown shows a shift in usage between the two isoforms. (B) Schematic representation of panel A, showing the proportion of reads for ZAK falling within each of its exons in each replicate. (C) Relative mRNA levels for MSI1 after knockdown for qRT-PCR validation of ZAK splicing event show successful knockdown. (D) Relative mRNA levels as measured by qRT-PCR of the short ZAK isoform (containing region $\beta$), the long ZAK isoform (containing region $\gamma$), and a control upon knockdown of MSI1 confirm the same change in usage as observed in the RNA-Seq data.
pect to polyadenylation, interestingly, MSI1 iCLIP sites are strongly depleted around polyadenylation signal sites (see Fig. S5F in the supplemental material), suggesting that if MSI1 does regulate polyadenylation in this cell system, the mechanism is unlikely to be via blockade of the signal site. It is worth mentioning that MSI has been shown in a different system to regulate polyadenylation and the signals involved to be conserved across evolution. In the Xenopus oocyte, polyadenylation of DNMT1, a gene critical for epigenetic control of various genes and for genome stability, requires MSI function. It was later shown that MSI interacts with poly(A) polymerase germ line development defective 2 (GLD2) protein, suggesting that coupling of MSI to the polyadenylation apparatus is a conserved mechanism to promote target mRNA translation (45, 46).

**Musashi1 functions as a central regulator of cell adhesion pathways.** Enrichment analysis done with KEGG pathways and biological processes (corrected \( P < 0.05 \)) revealed that mRNAs with MSI1 iCLIP tags in the 3’ UTRs encode proteins strongly associated with glioblastoma development (Fig. 3A and B; for a complete list, see Tables S4 and S5 in the supplemental material). We observed an extensive enrichment in adhesion-related pathways and processes, particularly the focal adhesion pathway, which impacts cell mobility and invasiveness. The distribution of MSI1 targets in the focal adhesion and adherens junction pathways is shown in Fig. 4. Other enriched pathways associated with glioblastoma growth include cytokines, chemokines, ErbB, p53, Wnt, insulin, vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), and mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK). Further, we selected the MSI1 iCLIP targets in the enriched KEGG pathways and constructed an interaction network using iRefIndex (shown in Fig. 3C). This software relies on protein–protein interaction information to build the associations. The main nodes based on the number of connections are the genes for PTPN11, IGF1R, HSP90AA1, PIK3R1, GRB2, SRC, YWHAZ, EGFR, and CBL, all of which have been implicated in tumorigenesis. This analysis also shows that target genes implicated in adhesion are highly interconnected (Fig. 3C).

MSI1’s involvement in cell proliferation, apoptosis, and the cell cycle has been previously described in other systems (47–51), and it was here corroborated in glioblastoma cells (see Fig. S6 in the supplemental material). In addition, our analysis uncovered a novel association of MSI1 with adhesion pathways/functions and set out to investigate the effect of modulating MSI1 on the relevant phenotypes. Interestingly, MSI1 knockout impaired cell adhesion and contributed to changes in cell morphology (Fig. 5B and E; see also Fig. S7B and D in the supplemental material). To interrogate the involvement of MSI1 in migration and invasion, we first performed a cell invasion transwell assay. A reduction in MSI1 expression promoted a decrease in the number of cells that were able to invade the basement membrane compared to the control siRNA transfection (Fig. 5A; see also Fig. S7A in the supplemental material). Subsequently, an in vitro scratch assay demonstrated that MSI1 silencing disrupts the ability to close a wound in cell culture, indicating that MSI1 promotes a cell migratory phenotype (Fig. 5D; see also Fig. S7C in the supplemental material). Corroborating these results, we conducted single-cell migration analysis and determined that MSI1 knockdown affected migration speed (Fig. 5C). Altogether, these results indicate that MSI1 contributes to GBM adhesion, migration, and invasion.

To further examine the impact of MSI1 on cell adhesion and related processes, we investigated whether MSI1 contributes to the translation of the genes for platelet-derived growth factor receptor alpha (PDGFRα), EGFR, and IGF1R. These three genes contain MSI1 binding sites in their 3’ UTRs and are known to be critical to glioblastoma development thanks to their adhesion-related functions. Western blot experiments showed a reduction of PDGFRα, EGFR, and IGF1R levels upon MSI1 knockdown (Fig. 6A). Our RNA-Seq data did not show a statistically significant difference in mRNA levels for these three genes between control and MSI1 knockdown conditions (\( P > 0.05 \) [edgeR]). To confirm that observed changes in protein levels are driven by MSI1 impact on the translation of these three genes, we fractionated cytoplasmic components of control and MSI1 knockdown cells through sucrose gradients (Fig. 6B) and investigated the distribution of their mRNAs along the gradient using qRT-PCR. As shown in Fig. 6C, a decrease in MSI1 levels reduced the presence of these three mRNA species in the heavy polysome fractions. GAPDH mRNA, which serves as a negative control, did not experience any shift in its profile. These results suggest that MSI1 acts as a translational activator of these three mRNAs.

**DISCUSSION**

Several studies have described high MSI1 expression in different tumor types and subsequent association with poor prognosis. However, only a few recent studies have reported on the biological consequence of MSI1 in cancer. In colon cancer, silencing of MSI1 induced apoptosis, mitosis, G1/M arrest, and tumor regression (51). In breast cancer, silencing of MSI1 resulted in decreased tumor mammosphere formation, decreased proliferation, and reduced breast cancer xenograft growth (50). It was also shown that tenascin C (TNC) promotes the fitness of metastasis-initiating breast cancer cells by enhancing the expression of LGR5 and MSI1 (52). In lung cancer, MSI1 silencing reduced spherical colony formation with inhibition of the Wnt and Notch pathways (49). Silencing of MSI1 in Daoy medulloblastoma cells decreased proliferation, tumor growth, and neurosphere formation and induced differentiation and apoptosis (27, 47). MSI1’s impact on tumorigenesis has frequently been explained based on regulation of the Notch inhibitor Numb and cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor p21. Our results strongly suggest that this view is incomplete. In fact, neither Numb nor p21 appeared as direct targets of MSI1 in the CLIP analysis presented here and in our previous ribonucleoprotein immunoprecipitation followed by microarray analysis (RIP-chip) experiments (27, 53). The same is true for Msi2 (54).

We propose that the observed effects on the Notch pathway do not occur via Numb and that changes in p21 expression upon MSI1 knockdown are indirect. Our results suggest that rather than working via a small group of target genes, MSI1 functions within an RNA operon (55) by coordinating the posttranscriptional regulation of several mRNAs that encode proteins that are functionally related.

**Musashi1 target site.** The high resolution of the iCLIP assay provided us with the opportunity to closely examine the MSI1 binding site. After normalizing for region length, iCLIP sites are mostly enriched in untranslated regions, primarily 3’ UTRs, providing further evidence of MSI1’s role in translational control. The binding site favors single-stranded conformation and contains the UAG triplet as its core. Interestingly, we observed differences in the enrichment of trimers around sites in different contexts. The strongest enrichment for the UAG core was observed in...
FIG 3 Gene ontology and pathway analyses for Musashi1 target sets. (A) Enrichment levels (P values) for KEGG pathways significantly enriched (corrected P < 0.05) in MSI1 iCLIP target genes. (B) Same as in panel A, but for biological processes. (C) Protein-protein interaction network for MSI1 iCLIP target genes present in enriched KEGG pathways. Shown are genes with at least three interactions in the network. Genes associated with adhesion pathways are indicated with an asterisk. (D) Distribution of MSI1 iCLIP targets in enriched KEGG pathways from panel A.
FIG 4 MSI1 target distribution in the focal adhesion (A) and adherens junction (AJ) (B) pathways.
3' UTR iCLIP sites, followed by those in introns. However, sites in coding regions did not show UAG as the strongest motif. Moreover, triplets enriched in the coding sequence showed weaker signal than their counterparts in other contexts. In contrast to the sequence motif, the secondary structure preference showed remarkably little variation between contexts. In light of this, it seems likely that if MSI1 does bind to coding regions (as our iCLIP data suggest), it is targeted by a weaker sequence motif. More generally,
preferentially contain binding sequences for both RRMs. This suggests GUAG and UAG as cores of the primary sequence feature. We then investigated how often the two RRMs act synergistically to regulate binding locations, while the secondary structure serves as a refinement. The detected intronic iCLIP sites may be due to retained introns or unannotated transcripts, although the large number of sites argues against this. However, an interesting possibility is that these proteins to regulate chromatin function (P. R. de Araujo, unpublished data).

**Musashi involvement in cell adhesion, invasion, and migration.** Gene ontology and pathway analyses revealed that a large number of MSI1 targets are associated with adhesion and related functions. These associations translated into a direct impact on cell morphology, migration, cell adhesion and invasion and revealed novel functions of MSI1 (Fig. 3 to 6; see also Fig. S6 and S7 in the supplemental material). Unlike other tumor types, glioblastoma and other central nervous system tumors are unique in that cell migration and invasion are the main mechanisms of spread, rather than metastasis. Invasion into adjacent normal tissue is dependent on many different factors, including the interaction between the cell and the extracellular matrix and intrinsic changes to the cell itself to allow for active cell movement (reviewed in reference 56). In order to accomplish this, the cell body must change its morphology/polarization and adhere itself to the surrounding extracellular matrix (57). For this reason, proteins involved in cell adhesion play extremely important roles in the pathogenesis of cancer (58).

Among glioma-relevant targets in adhesion pathways, we could first highlight the mediators of cell motility beta- and gamma-actin. Inhibition of actin polymerization with molecules such as vanadate and phenylarsine oxide reduced cell spreading, migration, and invasion of glioma cells (50). The actin-associated protein actinin-4 was also identified as a target of MSI1. Actinin-4 is
highly expressed in high-grade astrocytoma cells, and its knockdown resulted in reduced cell motility and cell adhesion (59, 60).

Three different integrins were identified as MSI1 targets: integrin-α1, integrin-αV, and integrin-β8. The integrin family is composed of 24 heterodimeric transmembrane cell surface receptors that connect the cytoskeleton of a cell with that of the extracellular matrix, thus allowing for cellular adhesion as well as signal transduction. Alterations of integrin expression, particularly overexpression (61, 62), are thought to contribute to the malignant character of glioblastoma by affecting cell adhesion, cell migration, angiogenesis (63), and radioresistance (64). Therapeutic targeting of integrins with compounds such as cilengitide (65), a synthetic pentapeptide (Arg-Gly-Asp), are proving effective at minimizing the cytotoxic effects of ionizing radiation (66). Integrin-α1 has been shown to be involved in focal adhesion formation through binding of other intracellular proteins (67), as well as acting as receptors for transmitting the signal required for cell migration and invasion (68). Integrin-αV, usually found in association with integrin-β3, has been widely implicated in cell motility and cell adhesion in glioblastoma. Inhibition of integrin-αV using monoclonal antibodies was able to inhibit the migration of astrocytoma cells (69). Integrin-αV may also be involved in brain metastasis since immunohistochemistry of brain metastases shows its increased expression (70).

Other adhesion-related MSI1 targets that deserve mention include PIK3R1, tenasin C, IGFR1, EGFR, and PDGFRα. p85α, encoded by PIK3R1, is a regulatory protein involved in the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K) complex. PIK3R1 knockdown in glioblastoma cell lines reduced proliferation and decreased migration velocity (71). Although very rare in common cancers (72) somatic mutations of PIK3R1 found in GBM can reduce the regulatory effect of p85α, resulting in an increased kinase activity and enhanced PI3K pathway activation (73). Tenasin C (TNC) was also identified as a target of MSI1, and its association with glioblastoma is well documented. The degree of malignancy in glioblastoma is positively correlated with TNC expression (74), most likely through mediating cell adhesion and cell motility. TNC mediates cell adhesion through its interaction with the FNIII domain of the receptor protein tyrosine phosphatase beta (71, 75). Notably, in breast cancer, MSI1 functions as a downstream effector of TNC in metastases (76, 77). Therefore, an interesting feedback loop involving MSI1 and TNC might exist. IGFR1 is a tyrosine kinase that activates PI3K/Akt and Ras-Raf-MAPK signaling pathways (78). In glioblastoma, the stimulation of IGFR1 by IGF1 or IGF2 leads to STAT3 activation, increasing the transcription factor hypoxia-inducible factor 1 (HIF1) protein levels and activity. The activation of HIF1 induces the expression of VEGF and IGFBF2; the first promotes angiogenesis, and the second is responsible for keeping the autocrine loop active (79). Interestingly, both HIF1 and VEGF were identified as MSI1 targets. PDGFRα encodes a cell surface tyrosine kinase receptor for members of the platelet-derived growth factor family; it can function in an autocrine and paracrine manner that results in cell proliferation, increased angiogenesis, and altered cell adhesion via deregulated integrin signaling (80). EGFR is the cell surface receptor for members of the epidermal growth factor family; it has been well characterized as an important signal transduction molecular in glioblastoma and other cancers. EGFR can also mediate cell-extracellular matrix interactions by interacting with CD44 (another target of MSI1), resulting in cell invasiveness (81). EGFR receives signal from focal adhesion kinase (FAK), mediating cell migration (82).

MSI1 could also influence adhesion related phenotypes via splicing. The majority of affected genes have clear roles in tumor invasiveness, adhesion, and growth, similar to what was found in the UTR analysis. One of the best examples is ZAK/MLTK; MSI1 promotes usage of the longer isoform (MLTKα; see Fig. 2), which, in contrast to the shorter isoform, is involved in the disruption of actin stress fibers and cell shrinkage (76), potentially implicating MLTK in tumor invasiveness.

In summary, our results indicate that MSI1 plays a significant role in GBM by coordinating the expression of an RNA operon associated with adhesion pathways, influencing therefore morphology, adhesion, migration, and invasion. The changes triggered by MSI1 expression will result in a boost in tumor spread and relapse, agreeing with the fact that high MSI1 expression is an indicator of poor prognosis (83).
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